

## **REMARKS**

Claims 76, 84, 85, 92, 95 and 103 are amended. Claims 89, 104 and 107 are cancelled. Claims 110-126 are added. Claims 76-88, 90-103, 105, 106 and 108-126 are in the application for consideration.

The specification is amended to correct typographical errors. Entry of the same is requested.

The 35 U.S.C. §112 rejection with respect to claims 76-94 is overcome by amendment to claim 76. Accordingly, withdrawal of this rejection is respectfully requested.

Claims 92 and 107 have been objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but otherwise allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Claim 92 has been so rewritten. Claim 107 has so been rewritten into independent claim 95. Independent claim 76 has been amended to include the limitations of claim 92 and to recite that the body is sized and shaped effective to space the processing chamber and accessory attachment from one another. Accordingly, independent claim 76 should also now be formally allowed, along with formal allowance of independent claims 92 and 95. Those claims depending therefrom should also be allowed as depending from allowable base claims, and for their own recited features.


New claims 110-126 are added. Support for the same can be found in any of Applicant's Figs. 5, 8 and 9, or in Applicant's specification as-filed, at pages 10 and 11. Accordingly, no new matter is added.

This application is believed to be in immediate condition for allowance,  
and action to that end is requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: 8-22-05

By:

  
Mark S. Matkin  
Reg. No. 32,268